Pipelines, Wells and Blowouts...Oh My! Environmental Challenges to a Highway Upgrading Project

Michael Halliwell, M. Eng., FEC, EP, CESA, C-NRPP, P.Eng.



March 2025

Acknowledgements





Who am I?

Senior Environmental Engineer with over 24 years of experience

- Phase 1 ESAs through remediation
- HBMS sampling
- Radon work
- Clients from "mom and pops" to government and multinational firms



Michael Halliwell, M.Eng., FEC, EP, CESA, C-NRPP, P.Eng.















Some History

- Originally a Township Road (likely dirt road)
- 1956 was an "all weather gravel road"
- 1978 interchange at Nisku opened and roadway had been upgraded to a paved 2-way, undivided Secondary Highway
- Eventually became an Edmonton bypass route
- Traffic volumes, vehicle sizes and speeds exceeding the original design parameters, leading to more collisions and fatalities
- Only to get worse: part of future outer ring road for Edmonton



The plan . . .

From:





The plan . . .

To:

From a transportation standpoint: easy





... but then there is the environmental side ...





... but then there is the environmental side ...

NOTE: This is a rather extreme case





The Challenge

For the proposed twinning itself, there are:

- 100 pipelines or pipeline crossings
- Active / Abandoned / Unusual pipes
- Found one cut and not capped
- Water Co-op pipeline (where is it?!)
- Well sites, facilities, spills and complaints (to the 1940's)



The Challenge

For the proposed twinning itself, there are:

- Atlantic No. 3 impact zone and plume
 - Approximately 1.2-1.4 million barrels of overland flow collected (sent for processing)
 - Est. 1.5-2 million barrels of oil from the well
 - Pits (collection and incidental) and fires
 - Current status?



Atlantic No. 3 – Blowout (March 8, 1948)





Atlantic No. 3





Atlantic No. 3





Atlantic No. 3





- Ltd. Phase 1 ESA / Environmental Overview (EO)
- Daylighting of pipelines and crossings to confirm location, cover and to collect samples
- EM Survey
- Phase 2 ESA



Ltd. Phase 1 ESA / EO



1950s



2021



Daylighting to confirm locations / depths and to collect samples . . .







EM Survey





Phase 2 ESA

- 50+ environmental test holes
 (plus geo inv.)
- Crops and farming (club root)
- Access issues with landowners / tenants
- Cooperative efforts with oil and gas companies





- Ultimately, approximately 300 test holes were drilled by our team and the oil and gas companies
- Multiple remediations and reclamations were carried out
- Lots of stakeholders were involved in the process





- Highway projects have a lot of clout, but it is far better to take a cooperative approach
 - Less duplication
 - Better results (outcomes and \$)
- Local knowledge is a huge asset
- Many stakeholders can complicate the work (in this case: ATEC, AER, EPA, Town of Devon, Leduc County, U of A, landowners, tenants, oil and gas companies and other utilities) and communication between parties is critical



Takeaways

- A good Phase I ESA can be invaluable, though they may lead to additional investigations
- Though, admittedly, most highway projects do not have this magnitude of an environmental component
- It's important to carry out the environmental work up front to avoid unpleasant surprises later on in the project (spend a few dollars early vs. much, much more later)



Thank You

Comments / Questions?



